

ERGODIC DECOMPOSITION OF A TOPOLOGICAL SPACE

BY
S. R. FOGUEL

ABSTRACT

Given a positive contraction, P , on $C(X)$ we define the conservative and dissipative parts of P and establish divergence of $\sum P^n f(x)$ on the conservative part of X .

Let X be a locally compact normal space which is also σ compact: $X = \bigcup X_n$ where X_n is compact. In order to avoid topological difficulties we shall assume also that every Borel set is a Baire set.

Let P be an operator on the space of real valued, bounded and continuous functions, $C(X)$, such that:

$$(1) \quad P1 \leq 1 \text{ and if } f \geq 0 \text{ then } Pf \geq 0.$$

Every functional on $C(X)$ is given by a regular, bounded, finitely additive measure: $x^*f = \int f d\mu$. Every such measure is σ additive on every compact set. See [1, IV. 6.2 and III. 5.13].

Let us assume, in addition to (1), that

$$(2) \quad \text{If } 0 \leq \mu \text{ is a } \sigma \text{ additive regular measure then so is } P^*\mu.$$

In particular $P^*\delta_x$ is σ additive where δ_x is a unit mass at x . Define

$$(3) \quad P(x, A) = P^*\delta_x(A).$$

then $P(x, \cdot)$ is a σ additive positive and regular measure, on the Borel sets, with $P(x, X) \leq 1$. Now, if $f \in C(x)$ then $Pf(x) = P^*\delta_x(f) = \int P(x, dy)f(y) \in C(x)$ and is thus measurable. The set of functions f such that $\int P(x, dy)f(y)$ is measurable, is an additive and monotone class and thus includes every bounded Borel function. Thus:

$$(4) \quad P(\cdot ; A) \text{ is measurable for every Borel set } A.$$

Received March 2, 1969

If $0 \leq f$ is measurable the operator P extends to f by $Pf(x) = \int P(x, dy)f(y)$ and Pf is again measurable.

Note that (2) was used for $\mu = \delta_x$ only.

In particular Pf is defined for every non-negative lower semi-continuous function f . By [3, page 176] Pf is again lower semi-continuous. Thus

$$(5) \quad \text{Let } \mathfrak{A} = \{f \mid 0 \leq f \leq 1 \text{ and } f \text{ is lower semi continuous}\} \text{ then } P\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{A}.$$

In order to define the dissipative part of X we shall use the class \mathfrak{A}_1 :

$$(6) \quad \mathfrak{A}_1 = \{f \mid f \in \mathfrak{A}, Pf \leq f \text{ and } \lim P^n f(x) = 0 \text{ for every } x \in X\}.$$

The class \mathfrak{A}_1 is not empty since $0 \in \mathfrak{A}_1$. The dissipative part of X will be denoted by W :

$$(7) \quad W = \{W_f \mid f \in \mathfrak{A}_1\} \text{ where } W_f = \{x \mid f(x) > 0\}.$$

Note that W_f is an open set and so is W .

LEMMA 1. Let K be a compact set in W . There exists a function $f \in \mathfrak{A}_1$ such that $f(x) \geq \delta > 0 \ x \in K$.

Proof. Since K is compact there exist functions f_1, \dots, f_n in \mathfrak{A}_1 such that $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n W_{f_i}$ but $\bigcup_{i=1}^n W_{f_i} = W_{1/\sum_{i=1}^n f_i}$ and $f = 1/n \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \in \mathfrak{A}_1$ too. Now $K \subset \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \{x \mid f(x) > 1/m\}$ and again a finite union will suffice since K is compact.

THEOREM 2. Let K be a compact set in W then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P^n 1_K(x) = 0$ hence for every measure $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P^{*n} \mu(K) = 0$ and if μ is invariant then $\mu(W) = 0$.

REMARK. 1_K is the characteristic function of K , and by a measure we mean, unless otherwise stated, a non-negative σ additive finite measure.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathfrak{A}_1$ such that $1/\delta f \geq 1_K$ then $P^n 1_K(x) \leq 1/\delta P^n f(x) \rightarrow 0$. Now if μ is a measure $P^{*n} \mu(K) = \mu(P^n 1_K) \rightarrow 0$ by the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem. Finally if μ is invariant it vanishes on every compact subset of W . since μ is regular and X is σ compact μ vanishes on W too.

THEOREM 3. $P(x, W) = 0 \ x \notin W$.

Proof. Since $P(x, \cdot)$ is a regular measure and X is σ compact it is enough to prove that $P(x, K) = 0 \ x \notin W$ and K is a compact subset of W . Use Lemma 1 to find $f \in \mathfrak{A}_1$ with $f \geq \delta 1_K$ then if $x \notin W$

$$P(x, K) \leq \frac{1}{\delta} Pf(x) \leq \frac{1}{\delta} f(x) = 0.$$

If f_1 and f_2 are in $C(X)$ and they differ on W only, then $f_1 - f_2$ is bounded by a multiple of 1_W ; hence $Pf_1(x) = Pf_2(x)$ if $x \notin W$. It is thus possible to consider P on the complement of W .

DEFINITION. *The operator P is called conservative if $W = \emptyset$ or equivalently $\mathfrak{A}_1 = \{0\}$.*

THEOREM 4. *Let P be conservative. Let $0 \leq f \in C(X)$ and $Pf \leq f$. Then $Pf = f$ and $P1_{f>a} = 1_{f>a}$ for every $a \geq 0$. Thus $P1 = 1$.*

Proof. Put $f_1 = f - Pf$ then $0 \leq f_1 \in C(X)$ and $g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P^n f_1$ is lower semi-continuous and bounded by f . Put $h = \min(g, 1)$ then $Ph \leq Pg$, $Ph \leq 1$ or $Ph \leq h$ also $P^k h \leq P^k g = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} P^n f_1 \rightarrow 0_{k \rightarrow \infty}$. Thus $h \in \mathfrak{A}_1$ and since P is conservative $h = 0$ therefore $g = 0$ and $f = Pf$. In particular $P1 = 1$. Let now $a \geq 0$ be given. $(f - a)^+ - (f - a)^- = f - a = P(f - a) = P[(f - a)^+] - P[(f - a)^-]$ thus $P[(f - a)^-] \geq (f - a)^-$. Apply the first part of the theorem to the non-negative continuous function $a - (f - a)^-$ to conclude that $P[(f - a)^-] = (f - a)^-$ and thus $P[(f - a)^+] = (f - a)^+$. Now $P[\min(n(f - a)^+, 1)] \leq \min(n(f - a)^+, 1)$ and again by the first part equality must hold. As $n \rightarrow \infty$ $\min(n(f - a)^+, 1) \rightarrow 1_{f>a}$.

Let A be a measurable set. Define $g_0 = 1_A$ $g_n = \max(g_0, P g_{n-1})$. Following [4] one sees that the sequence g_n increases to the limit i_A that satisfies $1_A \leq i_A \leq 1$ $P i_A \leq i_A$ and is the minimal subinvariant function that majorizes 1_A . Note that if A is an open set i_A is lower semi-continuous function. Thus

LEMMA 6. *Let A be an open set. There exists a function $i_A \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $1_A \leq i_A$, $P i_A \leq i_A$ and if f is any measurable function with $f \geq 1_A$, $Pf \leq f$ then $i_A \leq f$.*

LEMMA 7. *Let P be a conservative operator. Let $0 \leq f$ be a measurable function with $Pf \leq f$. Put $g = \lim P^n f$. Then for every $\delta > 0$ the set $\{x | f(x) - g(x) > \delta\}$ does not contain any open set.*

Proof. Assume, to the contrary, that A is an open set and $1_A \leq 1/\delta(f - g)$. Then $i_A \leq 1/\delta(f - g)$ and $\lim P^n i_A = 1/\delta \lim P^n(f - g) = 1/\delta(\lim P^n f - g) = 0$ and $i_A \in \mathfrak{A}_1$. Thus A is empty since P is conservative.

THEOREM 8. *Let P be a conservative operator. If $0 \leq f$ is lower semi-continuous and $Pf \leq f$ then $Pf < f$ on a set of the first category.*

Proof. Let a, b be rational numbers $0 \leq b < a$. The set

$$\{x | f(x) > a\} \cap \{x | Pf(x) \leq b\}$$

does not contain any open set by Lemma 7. But this set,

$$\{x | f(x) > a\} - \{x | Pf(x) > b\},$$

is the difference of two open sets and must be contained in the boundary of $\{x | Pf(x) > b\}$ which is nowhere dense. (This observation is due to S. Horowitz [see 2]). Thus

$$\{x | Pf(x) < f(x)\} = \bigcup [\{x | f(x) > a\} \cap \{x | Pf(x) \leq b\}]$$

where $0 \leq b < a$ are rational]

is a set of the first category.

THEOREM 9. Let P be a conservative operator. Let $0 \leq f < \infty$ be a lower semi-continuous function then the set $\{x | 0 < \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P^n f(x) < \infty\}$ is of the first category.

Proof. Put $g = \min(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P^n f, 1)$ then g is a lower semi-continuous non-negative function and $Pg \leq g$. On the set $\{x | 0 < \sum P^n f(x) < \infty\}$ the function $g > 0$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P^k g = 0$ hence this set is contained in $\bigcup_{h=0}^{\infty} \{x | P^{h+1} g(x) < P^h g(x)\}$ which is of the first category by Theorem 8.

REMARK. Theorem 9 was proved in [2] using a different method.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators*, Interscience Publishers (1958), New York
2. S. Horowitz, *Markov Processes on a locally compact space*, Israel J. Math., 7 (1969), in press.
3. P. A. Meyer, *Probability and Potentials*, Blaisdell Publishing Company, (1966), Waltham, Massachusetts.
4. P. A. Meyer, *Theorie ergodique et potentiels*, Annales de l'Institute Fourier (Grenoble) Vol. 15.1 (1965), pp. 89-96.